



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,559	05/02/2002	David C. Kulp	3291.7	9768
22886	7590 05/11/2004	•	EXAM	INER
AFFYMETR	,	5.550	SMITH, CA	ROLYN L
	F IP COUNSEL, LEGAI AL EXPRESSWAY	L DEPT.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLARA, CA 95051			1631	
			_	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	<u>`</u>
0	Ñ

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/063,559	KULP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carolyn L Smith	1631	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2/04.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 19,49-51 and 61-66 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 19,49-51 and 61-66 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

## Attachment(s)

''	Nouc	e or Refere	ences Cited	(P10-092)	

2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
-	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	L	Other:	
----	---	--------	--

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,559

Art Unit: 1631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR § 1.821 (a)(1) and (a)(2). See for example, Figure 12. However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.821 through 1.825, because it lacks SEQ ID Nos cited along with each sequence in the Figure. Applicants are also reminded that SEQ ID Nos are not required in Figures per se, however, the corresponding SEQ ID Nos then are required in the Brief Description of the Drawings section in the specification. Applicant(s) are required to submit a new computer readable form sequence listing, a paper copy, or CD-ROM for the specification, statements under 37 CFR § 1.821 (f) and (g), if there is a need to list additional sequences in the sequence listing. Applicant(s) are given the same response time regarding this failure to comply as that set forth to respond to this office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office Action.

### Restriction/Election

# **Specie Election Requirement:**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

First Specie Election Requirement:

Specie A: a first set of data which is biological sequence

Application/Control Number: 10/063,559

Art Unit: 1631

Specie B: a first set of data which is structure data

**Second** Specie Election Requirement:

Specie C: a second set of data which is a probe-identifier

Specie D: a second set of data which is protein information

(If Specie D is elected, please select one of the following subspecies:

The instant invention with Specie D contains patentably distinct sub-species, namely the protein information (as described in claims 51 and 65). Therefore, if Specie D is elected, please select one type of protein identification so that initial examination of this application may proceed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie and subspecie for each applicable specie election requirement for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The distinctness or independence of a biological sequence versus structure data (First specie election requirement) and probe-identifier versus protein information (Second specie election requirement) and protein information types (subspecie election requirement if Specie D is elected) is because each is a different data analysis type which is not required one for the other. The completely separate entity types of the species and subspecies are often separately characterized and published in literature, thus adding to the search burden if all species and subspecies were examined together. Thus, the species and subspecies are independent and/or distinct invention types for restriction purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,559

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the specie that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should an applicant traverse the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0721. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner Tina Plunkett whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Grahn H. M'Maschel 5/9/04 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PAMIARY EXAMINER